



Hill Park House, Uffculme, Cullompton, Devon EX15 3BJ T 01884 840386 E tony@amlane.co.uk W www.amlane.co.uk



Report Ref: R4042al

Prepared For: Willand Parish Council

Accompanied: No

Tagged? No

Site Inspection By: Tony Lane

Report Compiled By: Tony Lane

Report Checked By: Unchecked

Viewing Conditions: Bright, dry with

light SW winds

Visit Date(s): 02-10-2025

Report Date: 02-10-2025

Re: Jubilee Park, Orchard Way POS &

Cemetery, Willand

Instruction

Determine target values for the site and map these on a target plan showing the approximate positions of the trees.

Conduct a visual inspection of the/those trees indicated for re-assessment, comment on their safety and make recommendations as appropriate using a Tree Risk Assessment methodology where appropriate (please see the methodology explained below). The assessment took place using the described methodology and using the principles of Visual Tree Assessment [VTA] ¹. The assessment was from ground level, visual only and no samples were taken. Binoculars were used as appropriate.

This assessment only records details against those trees identified for review. Any other trees are subject to passive review only in passing and where appropriate detail is updated to reflected observed changes.

Terms to be abbreviated are indicated in [square brackets] when first used.

Target Values:

- 1. Please note that the risk threshold considered tolerable by the client has been agreed as 1/10,000, values less then this level e.g. 1/8000, are considered unacceptable. It is imperative that those responsible for risk assessment onsite validate that the stated tolerable risk threshold is acceptable to them. For further details please view the methodology overview at www.validtreerisk.com and www.qtra.co.uk.
- 2. The target values have been evaluated by the assessor during their visit.
- 3. In the absence of specific traffic count data traffic flow figures are taken from the DOT National Road Traffic Survey 2006, Table 4.2.

¹ **Visual Tree Assessment:** in addition to the literal meaning, a system expounded by Mattheck & Breloer (1995) & D Lonsdale (1999) Principles of Tree Hazard Assessment & Management, DETR, to aid the diagnosis of potential defects through visual signs and the application of mechanical criteria.







Tree Location & Identification:

The trees are identified on the attached tree location plan as either individual trees denoted with a T or as groups, hedges, areas or woodland denoted with a G, H, A or W, respectively. Where trees are associated with a path as the only target they are assessed and denoted as a P.

Note that where individual trees within a group, hedge, area woodland or path are assessed individually they are numbered as part of that grouping e.g. G1.001, W20.003. There may be multiple individuals in a grouping as in the second example.

The trees have **not** been tagged and identification onsite is via the attached plan. Where safety works or PC1 works are recommended trees may be marked with spray paint to aid location or a numbered plastic tree tag. Not tagging trees provides a cost reduction to the client, avoids unsightly tree tags as well as any damage to retained trees. Where trees form part of a large group or woodland and are indistinct they may be tagged; these are recorded on the assessment schedule.

Description & Findings

General Findings

The trees on three sites within the village of Willand have been assessed as follows:

Jubilee Park

Four mature English Oaks (T1-T4) are located on the remains of an old hedgebank in the southwest corner of the park. The bank forms the boundary with Wiland Tennis Club and the tarmac surfaced courts are circa 2-3m to the south of the trees.

A pump track has been installed to the north of the trees in 2023 and is within the root zone of all four trees.

At the time of this assessment the adjoining courts were being resurfaced with a bitumen based surface on top of the existing. Visual examination of the kerb edging and exposed tarmac did not reveal any disruption to the surface by roots.

All four Oaks have been heavy pruned back on the south side over the tennis court boundary.

Several low lateral limbs have been damaged by children swinging on them and recommendations are made to tidy the broken sections (T1) and reduce one limb (T2) as well as sever the ivy on T4.

T5 is a mature European Lime central and to the north west of the open grass area. It has a seat encircling the bole. No works are recommended for this tree beyond managing the basal epicormic growth on an annual basis.

Orchard Way POS

This is linear park located between Orchard Way to the west and Jaycroft Lane to the east. Residential properties adjoin the northern and southern boundaries.

A number of large mature hedgerow trees are located around the site however you have stated that these are not in WPC ownership and therefore have not been assessed, albeit they are marked on the tree location plan for information.

T5 & T7 predate the development of the park and surrounding houses and are on the edge of the bank such that they have been included in the assessment despite possibly being third party ownership.







The remainder of the trees are now very well established planting around the edges of the park.

T004 has a snapped leader in the upper crown which is indicated for removal. G001 have low lateral limbs which are both encroaching on the park below and over the adjoining boundaries. Works have been prescribed to remove selected lower limbs to achieve clearance. T006 has a recommendation for the ivy to be severed at ground level.

Willand Cemetery

All the trees are situated around the perimeter and are ornamental. Various works have been prescribed to crown lift some of the trees over the site to provide clearance for mowing and hedge trimming as well as over the highway.

Assessment Findings

Assessment Summary

Please find enclosed the survey schedule for Jubilee Park, Orchard Way POS & Cemetery, Willand.

No trees or groups have been identified as requiring **safety works** to bring them within acceptable levels of safety.

The survey identified **no** trees as requiring **advanced inspection**.

The following trees have been assigned **management recommendations** that may be undertaken at the client's <u>discretion</u>. These recommendations have been assigned priority codes based on the surveyor's assessment of their importance to either alleviate a nuisance or avoid a future safety issue:

The following Priority Codes are an assessment of the importance of the management requirement.

- 1 = High Priority (As soon as practicable) One assigned
- 2 = Medium Priority None assigned several assigned see table page 12-13
- 3 = Low Priority –None assigned
- 4 = Long term One assigned

The above priority codes are shown on the attached tree location plan(s) and are colour coded. Note that Safety Works are coloured red.

<u>SEE APPENDIX 1 FOR A SCHEDULE OF RECOMMENDED TREE WORKS BY</u> PRIORITY CODE.

Reassessment Intervals & Management Review

The review of tree condition and <u>tree management</u> for the site is shown in the 'Inspections Date & Frequency' column of the tree assessment schedule. In most cases this will be more frequently than the risk review. This is because active tree management addresses a range of issues of which risk is only one part, e.g. improving the health of trees, avoiding issues of nuisance, identifying disease progression. We will undertake a thorough site based tree risk assessment at least every four years.

The management reassessment intervals are either one year + three months, two years + three months or four years + three months. The interval assigned is determined by the condition of the tree(s) and the target value. The addition of the three months enables the surveyor/inspector to view the trees at different times of the year i.e. in leaf and during dormancy over several inspections. Where trees or areas are not included in a scheduled assessment for that year, they may be subject to 'passive' management reviews dependant on site use and age / condition of the tree stock.







Jubilee Park / Orchard Way / Willand Cemetery

All three sites have trees indicated for review in 2 years + 3 months. This applies to all of the trees in Jubilee Park given their age, size, proximity to targets and history of the concerns in particular the tennis courts.

Orchard Way POS and Willand Cemetery only a few trees to be assessed mainly to check on the prescribed works and the response of the trees. Both sites are likely to revert to a four year review thereafter if the works are completed.

Management Review: 2yr+3 = Dec 2027

Risk Review: 4yrs = 2029

Target Value Changes

The target values assigned reflect 'normal' daily use based on the current assessment of site use, target value and as per any use figures supplied by the client or those present onsite during the assessment. The assessor must be made aware of significant changes to site use or layout to avoid invalidating the risk assessment. One off large public event e.g. Open day, Public Events etc will require separate assessment and it is strongly recommended that the organisers make a suitable reassessment.

IMPORTANT NOTE

Trees may be protected by a Tree Preservation Order, Conservation Area, Felling Licence requirements, by faculty jurisdiction rules or a Planning Condition. Before instructing any works please check that none of the above restrictions are in place.

The statements made in this report do not take account of extremes of climate, vandalism, or accident, whether physical, chemical or fire. A M Lane Limited cannot therefore accept any liability in connection with these factors, nor where prescribed work is not carried out in a correct and professional manner in accordance with current good practice. The authority of this report ceases at any stated time limit within it, or if none is stated after one years from the date of the survey or when site conditions change, or pruning or others works unspecified in the report are carried out to, or affecting, the subject tree(s), whichever is sooner.

Signed Tony Lane

Date 02 October 2025

A M Lane F. Arbor. A., MIC For., MRICS., SFIIRSM RSP, Tech IOSH, Tech. Cert. Arbor. A. Arboricultural Consultant, Chartered Forester & Chartered Surveyor







ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

Unless previously carried out or updated the assessor has appraised and mapped 'Targets' in the assessment area. The assessor has walked the site not with the intention of inspecting or assessing each tree in detail or of viewing all parts or all sides of every tree. They will take a general overview of trees and look for signs of substantial tree hazard features or debility that might be significant in relation to the 'Targets'. The assessor has recorded on the attached schedule trees grouped by common characteristics such as location, species, age, condition, and dimensions or individually as appropriate

Potential tree hazard features identified as being significant in relation to the target have been recorded and the affected trees identified on the Assessment Plan. A Tree Risk Assessment has been carried out where appropriate and recorded. Where the assessor considers necessary the individual trees will be subject to detailed or advanced assessment, they are recorded individually in the attached schedule.

Where a Risk is 'Not Acceptable' or is 'Not Tolerable' recommendations to reduce the Risk to an acceptable level have been made. Management Recommendations have been made where appropriate and assigned a priority code for action at the client's discretion. See the headings and abbreviations table attached to the schedule for further information.

Table 1. Target Ranges for Structures, Pedestrians and Vehicles. categorised by their occupation, pedestrian frequency or monetary value, road speed & traffic volume. (Based on QTRA Vs5)

Target Range & Ranges of Value (Probability of occupation or fraction of £1,500,000)	Structure / Property – Repair / Replace (£)	Human (Incl Cyclists) Not in vehicles	Vehicular Frequency - Generic categories only (number per day)
1 Very high 1/1 - >1/10	£1,500,000 - >£150,000	Occ: Constant – 2.5hrs/day Ped: 720/hr – 73/hr	Motorway (26,000-2700 @ 68mph Trunk Roads (31 000-3200 @ 56mph) Principal road in a built up area (42,000 – 2700 @ 37mph)
2 High 1/10 - >1/100	£150,000 - >£15,000	Occ: 2.4hrs/day – 15min/day Ped: 72/hr – 8/hr	Principal roads in non-built up areas (3100–320 @ 56mph) (4200-430 @ 37mph)
3 Moderate 1/100 - >1/1K	£15,000 - >£1500	Occ: 14min/day – 2 min/day Ped: 7/hr – 2/hr	Minor roads with moderate use or poor visibility. (280-29 @ 62mph) (350-36 @ 43mph) (470-48@ 32mph)
4 Moderately low 1/1K - >1/10K	£1500 - >£150	Occ: 1min/day – 2min/week Ped: 1/hr – 3/day	Minor roads low use and good visibility (28-4 @ 62mph) (35-5 @ 43mph) (47-6 @ 32mph)
5 Low 1/10k - >1/100k	£150 - >£15	Occ: 1min/week – 1min/month Ped: 2/day – 2/week	Minor private roads and tracks (3-1 @ 62mph) (4-1 @ 43mph) (5-1 @ 32mph)
6 Very low 1/100k – 1/1m	£15 - £1	Occ: <1min/month – 0.5min/year Ped: 1/week – 6/year	None

Table 1. 'Target' ranges for property, pedestrians, and vehicle targets are categorised by their frequency of use or their monetary value. For example, the probability of a vehicle or pedestrian occupying a target area in 'Target' range 4 is between the upper and lower limits of >1/1000 and 1/10 000 (Column 1). Using the value of a 'Hypothetical Life' of £1,500,000 the property repair or replacement value for the 'Target' range 4 is £1500 - >£150.





Tree Risk Assessment

We have based our assessment of tree risk on to proven methodologies. VALID Tree Risk Benefit Management Strategy and the Quantified Tree Risk Assessment (QTRA) methodology. Both provide a consistent approach to making object risk based judgements in relation to trees and their targets.

VALID risk values are expressed within the following assessment schedule as 'Not Acc', 'Not Tol', 'Tol' and 'Acc' within the RISK INDEX column and relate to the outputs below.

VALID Risk ratings are as easy to understand as traffic lights as set out below:

Risk ratings are as easy to understand as traffic lights







1 VALID has applied ToR and ISO 31000 - Risk Management to tree risk-benefit management and assessment, which we've adopted. We're going to manage the risk from our trees with Passive <u>Assessment</u> in all zones of use, and Active Assessment in zones of high confluence (high-use + large trees). We have four easy-to-understand traffic light coloured risk ratings.

Red Not Acceptable risks will be reduced to an Acceptable level

Amber Not Tolerable risks will be reduced to an Acceptable level, but with a lower priority than red Not Acceptable risks

Amber Tolerable risks will not be reduced, but may require an increased frequency of assessment than green Acceptable risks

Green Acceptable risks will not be reduced

QTRA Risk of significant harm (RoH) values of greater that 1/10,000 is considered to be an acceptable risk of imposed harm. These are recorded in the RISK INDEX column as a whole number but should be understood as the fraction i.e. Expressed as 1/?????

Where both methodologies have been employed both values are shown or where a single value is provided the associated methodology has been used.

In both cases the assessor is trained and licenced to use appropriate methodology and both outputs should be considered expressions of the assessor's best calculation of the risk posed based on the three critical inputs. In the case of the VALID method the assessor will us the official validator app, or the QTRA field calculation where appropriate.









BASIC & DETAILED TREE MANAGEMENT & RISK ASSESSMENT SCHEDULE

Location Address:	Jubille Park / Orchard Way	Location	See Detail	assessor:	AML	
	POS / Willand Cemetery					
Client: Willand	d Parish Council			assessment date:	02/10/2025	PAGE : 7 0F 12

HEADINGS & ABBREVIATIONS

Tree No	Trees are not tagged however the survey plans indicate approx. tree (T), group (G), wood (W) or hedge (H) position and number. Individual trees, groups, woods & hedges are numbered consecutively. Individual trees in groups, hedge or woods are numbered X1.001 etc. P denotes a path with those trees within falling distance of the path included in the survey. Where trees are difficult to identify onsite they are tagged, or spray marked.
Location	The approximate location of the trees within the quarry or facility inspected.
Age Range:	Estimated stage of development based on site & species. NP = New Plant, Y = Young (First 1/3 rd), SM = Semi mature (Middle 1/3 rd), EM = Early mature (Early final 1/3 rd), M = Mature (Final size), OM/V = post Mature (Final 1/3 rd)
Height:	Estimated height for species – Other than where the height of a tree is critical to the outcome of the risk assessment, approximately 1 in 10 trees may be measured using a clinometer and the remainder estimated against the measured trees.
Condition:	Poor = Many or major structural defects, moribund or poor general health and vitality, Moderate = Minor structural defects, generally healthy crown, Good = Few or no minor structural defects & in good crown health.
Condition Notes:	Brief description of key structural features or defects.
Target:	The most likely target in the event of the whole tree or part of the tree failing. Surveyor may identify the target according to most likely part to fail and target value.
Target Value:	Highest value target that the most likely or significant part of the tree will strike if it fails. Range of 1 – 6 with 1 = High Occupancy/£ value, 6 = Low occupancy/£ value.
Failure Part:	The most likely part of the tree or trees to fail in normal weather conditions based on species and structural condition.
Size Range:	Size category of the most likely part of the tree to fail Scale of 1 - 6 with 1 = large, 6 = small.
Prob Range:	Probability of the identified part failing within the 12 months following assessment. Range of 1 – 6 with 1 = high & 6 = low.
Weather Affected:	Allowance for reduced access during high winds or inclement weather conditions when in some situations tree failure is most LIKELY, OR situations where the probability of tree failure is increased by hot dry weather which at the same time increases pedestrian access. Indicated as 'Y' if a Weather Affected target, N or blank if not. The RISK INDEX will reflect the weather effect on target where appropriate.
VALID Risk Index	Risk Index using VALID — Not Acc = NOT ACCEPTABLE, Not Tol = NOT TOLERABLE, Tolerable, Acc = Acceptable.
QTRA Risk Index:	QTRA Risk of significant harm (RoH) of greater that 1/10,000 is considered to be an acceptable risk of imposed harm. Recorded as a whole number but expressed as the fraction 1/?????
Mang't Recs & PC:	Management recommendations NOT required to reduce the Risk Index to above an acceptable level. The Priority Code is the surveyor's assessment of the importance of the management requirement.
Safety Recs:	The minimum work required As Soon As Practicable to reduce the Risk Index to within an acceptable risk of significant harm.
Insp Date & Freq:	Most recent inspection date & Inspection frequency assigned by the surveyor from the survey date; 1 = within 15 months, 2 = within 27 months, 4 = within 51 months, Blank = as yet unassigned or felled
Next inspection:	Date of next inspection based on assigned inspection frequency code.

ِيْ فِي Juk	rocation Page Pa	Species	Age Range	Height (m)	Condition Class	condition notes	Target	Target Range	Failure Part	Size Range	Prob Range	Weather Affected	VALID	QTRA Risk Index	Mang't Recs & PC	Safety Recs	Inspection Date & Frequency (Assessor)	Next Insp
11.000	Adjoinig Tennis Court	English Oak	M	15		Located on a low earth bank abutting tennis courts to the south. Well buttressed single stem. Large historic pruning wound at 1.6m on W side showing moderate occlusion. Primary lateral limb at 3m on north side. Crown break at 7m. Asymmetrically crown weighted west due to group competition. Crown coalescent with the adjoining tree. 02/10/25 Tennis court recently resurfaced. Localised distal dieback noted in the Northern crown. Crown historically reduced over the tennis court.	Pump Track, Tennis Court								02/10/2025 Remove damaged tertiary branch over the park boundary 2		02/10/2025 2 (AML)	02/10/2027

VALID	QTRA
Tree Risk-Benefit	Licensed Hear

		Species				condition notes		0							Mang't	Safety Recs	Tree Risk-Benefit Licensed L	Jser
Tree	Location	·	Age Range	Height (m)	Condition Class		Target	Target Range	Failure Part	Size Range	Prob Range	Weather Affected	VALID	QTRA Risk Index	Recs & PC	·	Inspection Date & Frequency (Assessor)	Next Insp
T2.000	Adjoinig Tennis Court	English Oak	M	15	G-M	Located on a low earth bank abutting tennis courts to the south. Well buttressed former coppice stool with three primary stems arising at 0.5m. Western stem has been truncated at 2.5m. Large lateral limb arises from the NE stem at 1.2m. Crown is asymmetrically weighted east and coalescent with T1. Low lateral limb extends over the park. 02/10/25 Deadwood <50mm dia noted within crown. Tennis court has recently been resurfaced.	Pump Track, Tennis Court								-		02/10/2025 2 (AML)	02/10/2027
T3.000	Adjoinig Tennis Court	English Oak	М	7		Located on a low earth bank abutting tennis courts to the south. Single stem with the lower bole extend extending South to form a foot. Short bole to 2m with a broad open crown break. Asymmetricity crown weighted east and subordinate to T2. Several crossing lateral limbs to the east. 02/10/25 Deadwood <50mm dia noted within crown. Tennis court has recently been resurfaced.	Pump Track, Tennis Court								02/10/2025 Reduce lower lateral limb over park by 4m as marked 2		02/10/2025 2 (AML)	02/10/2027
14.000	Adjoinig Tennis Court	English Oak	M	15	G-M	Located on a low earth bank abutting tennis courts to the south. Former coppice stool comprising three primary stems. Dense ivy prevents close inspection and extends to the mid crown. Ascending compact form. Historically pruned back from the tennis court boundary. Pump track extends to within the crown spread and RPA	Pump Track, Tennis Court, Village Hall								02/10/2025 Sever the ivy at GL 2		02/10/2025 2 (AML)	02/10/2027
T5.000	Centre of park	European Lime	M	16	G	Large well buttressed lower bole with pronounced buttress spurs to the NW. Tree has a slight lean south. Short single bole to 3m. Distinct vertical ribs and active secondary thickening noted around the trunk. Two primary downward arching limbs extend west and NW at 3m.Multiple historic pruning wounds at 3-4m, all fully occluded. Single primary stem extends to primary crown break at 7m. Broad symmetrical crown. Crown density is reduced. Reiterative growth noted thought the crown. Bench seat encircles the bole.	Seat, POS								02/10/2025 Annual removal of epicormic growth up to 2m 4		02/10/2025 2 (AML)	02/10/2027
Or	chard W	ay POS																
G1.000	West Boundary with OW	Turkey Oak, Red Oak	SM	12~1	G	Line of three trees. Common crown break at around 2.5m. Single moderately buttressed boles. Ascending crown form. Lower lateral limbs. Coalescent crowns.	Picnic bench, POS, Garage, Parking , (F) Highway								02/10/2025 Crown lift middle tree removing five lateral limbs below primary crown break union. Boundary side tree remove lowest lateral limb extending towards highway. Crown lift over boundary hedge to achieve 2m clearance. Gate end tree crown lift to 3m - 2		02/10/2025 4 (AML)	01/10/2029

VALID	QTRA
Tree Risk-Benefit	Licensed User

		Cnosics				oondition notes			-			1	ı		More's	Cofoty Dage	Tree Risk-Benefit Licensed	User
Tree No.	Location	Species	Age Range	Height (m)	Condition Class	condition notes	Target	Target Range	Failure Part	Size Range	Prob Range	Weather Affected	VALID	QTRA Risk Index	Mang't Recs & PC	Safety Recs	Inspection Date & Frequency (Assessor)	Next Insp
T2.000	West Boundary with OW	English Oak	SM	10	G	Single well buttressed trunk. Pronounced surface roots damaged by mowers. Large lateral limb extends north at 1.6m. Primary crown break union at 2.5m. Ascending form. Symmetrical crown. Heavily pruned unto residential boundary on side.	POS, Garden, Highway										02/10/2025 4 (AML)	01/10/2029
T3.000	Southern boundary - Central	River Birch	EM	12	G	Single well buttressed bole. Primary crown break at 2m. Primary ascending stem on S side with a cupped embedded bark union. Ascending crown form.	POS, Garden								-		02/10/2025 4 (AML)	01/10/2029
T4.000	Southern boundary - Central	English Oak	SM	12	G-M	Single well buttressed bole. Primary crown break at 4m. Ascending crown form. Central upper stem has split with southern section attached and resting in crown.	POS, Garden								02/10/2025 Remove split limb from southern crown. - 1		02/10/2025 2 (AML)	02/10/2027
T5.000	Southern boundary - East	English Oak	EM	10	G	Pre-existing tree. Single well buttressed bole with slight lean north. Primary crown break at 4m. Broad symmetrical crown. Deadwood <50mm diameter within the crown.	POS, Garden								-		02/10/2025 4 (AML)	01/10/2029
T6.000	Southern boundary - East	Red Oak	EM	12	G	Single well buttressed bole with slight lean north. Primary crown break at 5m with twin arising from an open embedded bark union. Primary lateral limbs from 3m extend N over park. Ascending symmetrical form.	POS, Garden, Path								02/10/2025 Sever ivy at GL 2		02/10/2025 4 (AML)	01/10/2029
T7.000	Northern boundary - East	Common Ash	EM	12	M	Pre-existing tree possibly off site. Short moderately buttressed trunk. Large ascending primary limb at 1.4m extending south. Cupped embedded bark union with poor union- stem ratio. No sign of incipient failure or increased crown shyness. North stem divides at 3m and 4m with tensile unions and ascending form. No obvious signs of Ash Dieback Disease.	POS, Garden, Path	3	Primary Branch	2	4	Y		1 million	-		02/10/2025 2 (AML)	02/10/2027
T8.000	Northern boundary - East	Turkey Oak	SM	13	G	Single moderately buttressed trunk. Light lateral limbs from 3m. Single persistent trunk dividing in two at circa 8m. Crown asymmetricity weighted west.	POS, Garden, (F) Path								-		02/10/2025 4 (AML)	01/10/2029
T9.000	Northern boundary - East	Turkey Oak	SM	13	G	Single moderately buttressed trunk. Large ascending lateral limbs from 3m. Single persistent trunk dividing in two at circa 4m with an embedded bark union . Crown asymmetricity weighted South.	POS, Garden, (F) Path								-		02/10/2025 4 (AML)	01/10/2029

VALID	QTRA
Tree Risk-Benefit	Licensed User

—		0	1			and tale		1	-			, ,	-		Marrie M	0-4-4- 0	Tree Risk-Benefit Licensed U	Sei
		Species			_	condition notes		ge	t t	ø	0			~	Mang't Recs & PC	Safety Recs	ا د ک	
Tree No.	Location		Age Range	Height (m)	Condition Class		Target	Target Range	Failure Part	Size Range	Prob Range	Weather Affected	VALID	QTRA Risk Index	Neus a Pu		Inspection Date & Frequency (Assessor)	Next Insp
T10.000	Northern boundary - Central	English Oak	SM	10	G	Single well buttressed trunk clear to 2m. Several ascending lateral limbs. Main crown break at 3m with multiple ascending stems. Symmetrical crown.	POS, Garden								-		02/10/2025 4 (AML)	01/10/2029
Wi	lland Ce	emetery																
G1.000	Southwest Boundary	Box Elder	SM	6		Line of three ornamental trees adjoining the highway hedge boundary. West most tree is dominant. All have single moderately buttressed trunks. Two crown break at 1.4m, the gate end tree at 3m. West tree is substantial and may pre-date the other two. It has a broad open main union with 3 primary stems arising from it. Lower lateral over road as been broken at 3m.	Cemetery, Highway								02/10/2025 Remove damaged limb from largest tree and crown lift over highway to 4.8m - 2		02/10/2025 2 (AML)	02/10/2027
G2.000	Northwest Corner	Norway Maple	SM	6	G	Two ornamental trees. One Crimson and one standard. Single lightly buttressed trunks clear to 18m. Ascending crown form with coalescent crowns.	Cemetery								02/10/2025 Crown lift both trees 3m removing smaller branches up to 75mm diameter 2		02/10/2025 4 (AML)	01/10/2029
T3.000	Northeast Corner	Silver Birch	EM	9	G	Well buttressed lower bole. Bifurcated at 1.8m with two codominant stems. Open tensile union. Symmetrical form,	Cemetery								-		02/10/2025 4 (AML)	01/10/2029
G4.000	East Boundary - Central	Box Elder , Field Mable, Norway Maple	SM	6 -8		Line of two Box Elder, two Field Maples, and one Norway Maple growing within the boundary hedge. Well buttressed single trunks. Low crown break unions.	Cemetery								02/10/2025 Crown lift over cemetery to 3m removing light branches <75mm diameter 2		02/10/2025 4 (AML)	01/10/2029
T5.000	Southern Boundary - East	Variegated Holly	SM	3.5	G-M	Shrubby form with single central stem.	Cemetery, Highway								-		02/10/2025 4 (AML)	01/10/2029

Appendix 1: Schedule Of Recommended Tree Works

The following Priority Codes are an assessment of the importance of the recommended management work priority. Priority 1 should be undertaken as soon as reasonably practicable, the rest are at the client's discretion and budget.

1 = High Priority (As soon as practicable)

2 = Medium Priority

3 = Low Priority

4 = Long term

Schedule of Safety Works,

(To be read in conjunction with the tree survey plan)

NONE

Schedule of Priority Code 1 Works,

(To be read in conjunction with the tree survey plan)

Address	Location	Group	Tree	Species	Age	Height	Mangt	Priority
			Number		Class		Recommendations	Rating
Orchard	Southern	T	4.000	English	SM	12	02/10/2025 Remove	1
Way POS	boundary			Oak			split limb from	
	 Central 						southern crown.	

Schedule of Priority Code 2 Works,

(To be read in conjunction with the tree survey plan)

Address	Location	Group	Tree Number	Species	Age Class	Height	Mangt Recommendations	Priority Rating
Jubilee Park	Adjoining Tennis Court	T	1.000	English Oak	M	15	02/10/2025 Remove damaged tertiary branch over the park boundary.	2
Jubilee Park	Adjoining Tennis Court	T	3.000	English Oak	M	7	02/10/2025 Reduce lower lateral limb over park by 4m as marked.	2
Jubilee Park	Adjoining Tennis Court	T	4.000	English Oak	M	15	02/10/2025 Sever the ivy at GL.	2
Orchard Way POS	West Boundary with OW	G	1.000	Turkey Oak, Red Oak	SM	12~14	02/10/2025 Crown lift middle tree removing five lateral limbs below primary crown break union. Boundary side tree remove lowest lateral limb extending towards highway. Crown lift over boundary hedge to achieve 2m clearance. Gate end tree crown lift to 3m removing one marked limb plus limbs on highway side.	2
Orchard Way POS	Southern boundary - East	T	6.000	Red Oak	EM	12	02/10/2025 Sever ivy at GL.	2

Address	Location	Group	Tree Number	Species	Age Class	Height	Mangt Recommendations	Priority Rating
Willand Cemetery	Southwest Boundary	G	1.000	Box Elder	SM	6	02/10/2025 Remove damaged limb from largest tree and crown lift over highway to 4.8m	2
Willand Cemetery	Northwest Corner	G	2.000	Norway Maple	SM	6	02/10/2025 Crown lift both trees 3m removing smaller branches up to 75mm diameter.	2
Willand Cemetery	East Boundary - Central	G	4.000	Box Elder , Field Mable, Norway Maple	SM	6 -8	02/10/2025 Crown lift over cemetery to 3m removing light branches <75mm diameter.	2

Schedule of Priority Code 3 Works,

(To be read in conjunction with the tree survey plan)

NONE

Schedule of Priority Code 4 Works,

(To be read in conjunction with the tree survey plan)

Address	Location	Group	Tree	Species	Age	Height	Mangt	Priority
			Number		Class		Recommendations	Rating
Jubilee	Centre of	T	5.000	European	M	16	02/10/2025 Annual	4
Park	park			Lime			removal of	
							epicormic growth up	
							to 2m.	





